

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Ronald Mikkenie	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/923,605	-	08/07/2001		NL 000419	
24737	7590	05/21/2003			
		ONICS NORTH A	EXAMINER		
	E PLAINS DWN, NY			GROUP, KARL E	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1755	
				DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

K	G

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/923,605

Applicant(s)

Mikkenie et al

Examiner

Karl Group

Art Unit **1755**

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply	-					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.						
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause t 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	· ·					
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 8, 2	003					
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This ac	ction is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-13</u>	is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
6) X Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-13	is/are rejected.					
	is/are objected to.					
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	<i>8, 2003</i> is: a) ☑ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.					
	ve been received in Application No					
application from the International Bure						
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	·					
a) L The translation of the foreign language provisional						
15) LA Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:					
						

Application/Control Number: 09/923605 Page 2

Art Unit: 1755

1. When claim 1 was copied the symbols " \leq , \geq " were left out, correction is required.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claim 11, the description of the glass composition including "essentially free from boron" in combination with a glass including TiO_2 is considered new matter. The only description of a glass excluding boron is the bottom of page 4 which includes Li_2O and alkaline earth metal oxide. Support cannot be found for a glass including TiO_2 and excluding boron.

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 2013 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sugimoto et al ('192) for reasons of record.

The rejection over Sugimoto et al '228 is withdrawn in view of applicants argument that bismuth is excluded from the claims.

Applicants argument that Sugimoto et al '192 requires lead oxide is not persuasive in overcoming the rejection. It is clear from Table 1, that lead oxide is not a required component,

Application/Control Number: 09/923605 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

see examples S10, S14-S17. Furthermore, independent claim 1 in the patent does NOT require PbO and is further added in a dependent claims. Table 1 clearly exemplifies a PbO free composition and the teachings of the patent are not limited to the claims but the full disclosure. In reference to claim 11 the limitation "essentially free of boron" does not set forth a quantitative amount and therefore does not exclude amounts of boron set forth in the '192 patent.

Furthermore, Sugimoto et al teach that excluding boron does not allow the dielectric to be sintered at or below 1000° (column 4, lines 1-6). Excluding the boron would merely raise the sintering temperature as in the instant application.

6. Claims was are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sugimoto et al ('734), for reasons of record.

Applicants argument that the teaching of "preferably Pb-free glass" does not exclude Pb from the ceramic and contemplates its inclusion is not persuasive. Clearly "preferably Pb-free" contemplates Pb free compositions. Furthermore as seen from Table 1 the ceramic composition does not include Pb.

- 7. The corrected or substitute drawing was received on 5-8-03. This drawing is approved.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/923605 Page 4

Art Unit: 1755

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl Group whose telephone number is (703)308-3821. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached on (703)308-3823. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9310, for any non-final amendment or communication, and (703)872-9311 for any after-final

amendment or communication.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

/KARL ØROUP PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1755

Keg May 21, 2003